

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alcassedan, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/539,865	11/30/2005	Marc Husemann	101769-321-WCG	6679	
27386 7550 12/31/2009 GERSTENZANG, WILLIAM C.			EXAMINER		
NORRIS MCI	AUGHLIN & MARCU	JS, PA	BERNSHTEYN, MICHAEL		
875 THIRD AVE, 8TH FLOOR NEW YORK, NY 10022			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1796		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			12/31/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

# Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/539,865	HUSEMANN ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
MICHAEL M. BERNSHTEYN	1796	

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED 14 <u>December 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.

1. ☑ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the

application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 3 To Affin 2.1.3.1 or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:

a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.

b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.

Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).

Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee bunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filled, may reduce any earned patent term ediplasment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

#### NOTICE OF APPEAL

The Notice of Appeal was filed on \_\_\_\_\_ A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a
Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).

### AMENDMENTS

3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because
<ul><li>(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);</li></ul>
(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for
appeal; and/or
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.

NOTE: \_\_\_\_\_\_ (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).

The amendments are not in compliantee with 3 PCPK 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (F10C-324).

 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):

 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the

non-allowable claim(s).
7. 
For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of

how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.

The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:

Claim(s) allowed: 1-8.

Claim(s) objected to: \_

Claim(s) rejected: <u>9-13</u>.
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: \_\_\_

## AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE

8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).

9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).

10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.

#### REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER

11. \( \subseteq \) The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <a href="See Continuation Sheet">See Continuation Sheet</a>.

12. Note the attached Information *Disclosure Statement(s)*. (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). \_\_\_\_\_\_13. Dther:

/David Wu/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1796 /Michael M. Bernshteyn/ Examiner, Art Unit 1796 NOTE of 11: After further consideration and search, claims 1-8 are allowed. Claims 9 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Brahm et al. (U. S. Patent 6,001,931), for rationale recited in paragraph 7 of Office Action dated on September 15, 2009, claims 12 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Brahm et al. (U. S. Patent 6,001,931) in view of Williams et al. (U. S. A 810,523), for rationale recited in paragraph 8 of Office Action dated on September 15, 2009; claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Brahm et al. (U. S. Patent 6,001,931) in view of Baus et al. (U. S. Patent 6,242,518), for rationale recited in paragraph 9 of Office Action dated on September 15, 2009, and comments below.

With regard to the limitations of claims 9-13, it is noted that in view of substantially identical monomers, organic solvents, bimodal molecular weight distribution of the final product, and its molecular weight, being used by both Brahm and the applicant, it is the examiner position to believe that the product, i.e. polyacylate of Brahm is substantially the same as the polyacylate recited in claims 9-13, even though obtained by a different process, consult in re Thorner, 777 Fz (46 95, 689, 827 USPO) 964, 966 (Fed. Cir. 1985).

Since the ÚSPTO does not have proper equipment to do the analytical test, the burden is now shifted to the applicant to prove otherwise. "[Eyen though product-by-process claims are limited by and defined by the process, determination of patentability is based on the product itself. The patentability of a product does not depend on its method of production. If the product in the product-by-process claim is the same as or obvious from a product of the prior art, the claim is unpatentable even though the prior product was made by a different process." In re Thorpe, 777- £2 d95, 698, 227 USPO 94-, 966 (Fed. Cir. 1985).

Therefore, the rejections of claims 9-13 remain in force.